UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/704,535	11/03/2000	Rudy Bonefas	35825-164588	5575
7590 04/21/2008 MANELLI DENISON & SELTER PLLC ATTEN: WILLIAM H. BOLLMAN 2000 M STREET N.W.			EXAMINER	
			AVELLINO, JOSEPH E	
2000 M STREET, N.W. SUITE 700		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON, DC 20016			2146	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/21/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/704,535	BONEFAS ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Joseph E. Avellino	2143				
 Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
A SHC THE M - Extens after S - If the p - If No p - Failure Any re	PRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLIALING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. IX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statulibly received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir oly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed vs will be considered timely. Ithe mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1) 🛛 F	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>31 .</u>	January 2008.					
	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.						
3) 🗌 💲							
(	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositio	on of Claims						
4)🛛 (	Claim(s) <u>24-33,47 and 50-68</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) (	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)🛛 (	☐ Claim(s) <u>24-33,47 and 50-68</u> is/are rejected.						
7) 🗌 (	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) 🗌 (	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application	on Papers						
9)□ ⊤	he specification is objected to by the Examin	er.					
10)□ T	)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
F	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)∐ T	he oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority ur	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)[	cknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig  All b) Some * c) None of:  Certified copies of the priority document  Copies of the certified copies of the priority document  Copies of the certified copies of the priority document  application from the International Bureate the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicationity documents have been received au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(		_					
	of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D					
3) 🔯 Inform	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 No(s)/Mail Date 1/3/08, 3/14/08.		Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Office Action Summary

### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 24-33, 47, and 50-68 are pending. The Office acknowledges the cancellation of claims 1-23, 34-46, and 49.

### Information Disclosure Statement

2. The IDS dated January 3, 2008 has been considered. See enclosed PTO-1449.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 50-55 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The claims ultimately depend from claim 1, which has been cancelled. Correction is required.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 24-29, 31, 47, 50-68 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Matsuda et al. (US 20020133573) (hereinafter Matsuda) in view of Ben-David (USPN 6,273,622) (hereinafter '622).

Application/Control Number: 09/704,535

Art Unit: 2146

6. Referring to claim 24, Matsuda discloses a computer readable data storage medium storing software for supporting a plurality of intelligent messaging servers in an intelligent messaging network (i.e. a network 201), the software comprising:

Page 3

a first code segment handling registration (automatic configuration, network addressing, service discovery) of NOA (networked office architecture) servers and clients with the intelligent messaging network, wherein registration comprises storing a server id (fully qualified domain name) and a server type (i.e. service definitions, as seen in ¶'s 86-95) for the first intelligent messaging server in a database storing server ids and server types for the plurality of intelligent messaging servers (e.g. abstract; p. 5, ¶ 47-49; p. 8-9, ¶ 83-114);

a second code segment for connecting NOA clients/servers to one another (e.g. abstract; p. 8, ¶ 83-95) (it is understood that if a NOA client can utilize the services of another NOA client, then it is inherent that they are connected to one another);

a third code segment encapsulating communication between NOA clients (e.g. abstract)

wherein a transport protocol used with said intelligent messaging network provides for: message segmentation and reassembly, message retries, message duplication detection, and message ACK and NACK service without relying on either a client application and server application (p. 3, ¶ 34, Matsuda discloses using the invention in a TCP/IP network, which, as shown by accompanying RFC 793 "Transmission Control Protocol", discloses the network has the ability to provide ACK

Art Unit: 2146

and NACK service on page 20; message retries on page 4: section "Reliability"; message duplication detection on page 4: section "Reliability"; Message segmentation is disclosed as shown by accompanying RFC 791 "Internet Protocol", pages 35-36 discuss fragmentation of a datagram).

Matsuda does not explicitly disclose enabling communication between intelligent messaging servers, however Matsuda does disclose that if the NOA server does receive a DHCP Offer from a recently sent DHCP Discover broadcast, and the NOA sever determines that the other device is another NOA server, they determine which of them has the higher priority to determine which is the master (p. 5, ¶ 48). One of ordinary skill in the art would understand that this would be the easiest way for the servers to determine which server has the highest priority, and therefore it would have been obvious to do so to provide a simple method of determining which computer has the highest priority in the network.

Matsuda does not specifically disclose the transport protocol is a connectionless transport protocol used to allow said plurality of servers to communicate with one another and to provide networking services comprising message segmentation and reassembly, message retries, message duplication detection, and message ACK. In analogous art, '622 discloses another network communication system which discloses the use of a connectionless transport protocol (i.e. the protocol stack utilizes a UDP header, which is a connectionless protocol) which provides networking services including message segmentation (i.e. Message segmentation is done on the IP layer, which is utilized in conjunction with UDP to send a message, see RFC 791, pages 35-

Art Unit: 2146

36), message retries (i.e. retransmission of unacknowledged messages), message duplication detection (i.e. the system ignores a second session connection message when there is already a session on the port, since there is sent two connection request messages, the receiver ignores the duplicated request when it receives the first request successfully), and message ACK and NACK (i.e. message acknowledgement and the retransmission of unacknowledged packets) (Figure 5, ref. 92; col. 2, lines 35-40; col. 14, lines 1-8, 20-26). The limitation "used to allow said plurality of servers to communicate with one another" is a statement of intended use, and holds no patentable weight. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to substitute the transport protocol used in Matsuda with the one described in '622 to provide the benefits discussed in '622 to the networked server system of Matsuda, namely the ability to enhance the throughput and response time performance of TCP/IP applications and services (e.g. abstract).

- 7. Referring to claim 25, Matsuda discloses the first code segment (i.e. registration process) specifies a server class (i.e. a server priority) and a server type (p. 6-7, ¶ 56, 61) for the first intelligent messaging server.
- 8. Referring to claim 26, Matsuda discloses the first code segment (i.e. registration process) specifies an IP address (p. 7, ¶ 65-66).

9. Referring to claim 27, Matsuda discloses the third code segment (i.e. network communication technique) generates a standard packet for communications between the intelligent messaging servers (i.e. an HTTP packet since the NOA architecture is based on an HTTP network connected to the Internet 201) (p. 3, ¶ 37; p. 4, ¶ 40).

- 10. Referring to claim 28, it is well known in the art that HTTP packets which the NOA architecture of Matsuda utilizes include a packet length (i.e. "Content-Length: XXXX").
- 11. Referring to claim 29, it is well known in the art that HTTP packets which the NOA architecture of Matsuda utilizes includes a server ID (i.e. an IP address of the server) so that it is known the source or destination of the packet).
- 12. Referring to claim 31, Matsuda discloses a code segment encrypting and decrypting messages (p. 10, ¶ 126-127), however does not specifically state generating acknowledgement messages, processing the acknowledgement messages, and compressing and decompressing messages, however it is well known in the art that acknowledgement messages (known as ACK's) can be sent from destination to senders if a particular segment or message has not been received, and it is then inherent that both the destination computer and the sender computer can process the ACK message to determine what, if any, action must be done to rectify the situation (i.e. retransmit a segment, restart transmission, etc.). It is further common knowledge that code

Art Unit: 2146

segments which compress and decompress messages is well known and expected in the art to save transmission processing and reduce overall bandwidth on the network communication link. Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide for generating and processing ACK messages as well as compressing and decompressing messages to further reduce overall server processing and increase efficiency while reducing congestion over the network.

- 13. Referring to claim 50, Matsuda discloses searching the database based on server type to identify the second server, the second server being of a server type that the first server desires to connect with (p. 9, ¶ 97-105).
- 14. Referring to claim 51, Matsuda discloses facilitating a handshake procedure determining a validity of a connection between the first server and the second server (p. 9, ¶ 102-107).
- 15. Referring to claim 52, Matsuda discloses the server types are associated with functions performed by the plurality of servers (p. 8-9, ¶ 83-114).
- 16. Referring to claim 53, Matsuda discloses the server types comprise protocol gateway servers (i.e. fax servers), message router servers (i.e. doc\_retrieval servers) and back-end servers (calendar\_schedule and retrieval servers) (p. 8, ¶ 86-95).

Art Unit: 2146

17. Referring to claim 54, Matsuda discloses the server class is associated with a network access protocol for a network connecting a client to the first server (p. 6-7, ¶ 56, 61).

- 18. Referring to claim 55, Matsuda discloses the invention substantively as described in claim 1. Matsuda does not specifically disclose encapsulating a network access protocol used to transmit data from a client device to the first server such that the network access protocol is transparent to the second server receiving the data from the first server. However it is well known that wireless browser-enabled cellular phones use the WAP (wireless application protocol) in order to connect to the Internet, this WAP signal is sent to a gateway which encapsulates this request into a standard HTTP GET request, thereby allowing the ability to connect to the internet. By this rationale it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill to incorporate encapsulating a network access protocol used to transmit data from a client device to the first server such that the network access protocol is transparent to the second server receiving the data from the first server because it would allow cellular users the ability to utilize the system, thereby increasing customer base and providing more of a market share to the system.
- 19. Claims, 47, 56-68 are rejected for similar reasons as stated in the claims above.

Claims 30, 32, and 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Matsuda in view of '622 in view of Bell et al. (USPN 6,044,081) (hereinafter Bell).

20. Referring to claim 30, Matsuda in view of '622 discloses the computer-readable data storage medium as stated in the claims above. Matsuda in view of '622 does not specifically and explicitly disclose encapsulating a transport header, notifying a sender of a success or failure of a transmission, segmenting messages over a pre-determined length into message segments, assembling messages segments into messages, resending messages not ACK'ed, detecting duplicate message segments, and detecting duplicate messages. Bell discloses:

encapsulating a transport header (MAC frame header) (col. 20, lines 24-33); notifying a sender of a success or failure of a transmission (it would have been obvious to incorporate a failure notification mechanism to the sender when a frame check sequence error is detected to reduce bandwidth by halting transmission of unnecessary message segments and to retransmit pertinent segments) (col. 21, lines 20-30);

segmenting messages over a pre-determined length into message segments (encapsulation) (e.g. abstract; col. 20, lines 23-65);

assembling messages segments into messages (de-encapsulation) (col. 21, lines 30-51);

Art Unit: 2146

pacing a transmission of messages larger than a pre-determined number of segments (i.e. buffering messages and transmitting them in a queue) (col. 20, lines 20-25);

Bell does not specifically state detecting duplicate message segments or detecting duplicate messages, however does disclose that if a new message sequence number is received before the necessary last segment of the previous message, it will abort processing and return an error (col. 21, lines 20-30). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide code to detect duplicate message segments and detect duplicate messages to the system of Matsuda-Bell to increase efficiency of the system by not wasting server processing time dealing with previously sent messages or segments.

21. Referring to claim 32, Matsuda discloses the computer-readable data storage medium as stated in the claims above. Matsuda does not disclose encapsulating a communication layer. Bell discloses encapsulating a communication layer (the Office takes the term communication layer to mean formatting a higher level message to be transmitted over a network) (col. 20, lines 23-65). It would be obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Bell with Matsuda to provide an efficient bandwidth connection while providing a path from every node to every other node within a private network without requiring multiple physical connections for each node as supported by Bell (col. 8, lines 30-35).

Art Unit: 2146

22. Referring to claim 33, it is well known in the art that application specific messages can be processed by servers (i.e. serving a web page, a CGI script, SOAP execution module, etc.) to provide services required by the application to the client. Furthermore, it is well known in the art that specific servers may compress messages as a form of encryption in order to provide an enhanced level of security as well as reducing used bandwidth on a communication link. Matsuda discloses code providing special security services (i.e. passwords and database updating) (p. 10, ¶126-128).

## Response to Amendment

- **23.** Applicant's arguments dated January 31, 2008 have been considered but are not persuasive.
- 24. Applicant argues, in substance, that Matsuda-'622 does not disclose a connectionless transport protocol including a transport layer which provides for a plurality of features since the IP layer is a network layer which provides segmentation/assembly. The Examiner disagrees. Applicant has not specifically defined what is meant by a "transport layer" and as such the Examiner has taken broad consideration with respect to definition. The Office construes the term "transport layer" as any network protocol processing which facilitates communication between two computers. As such, the network layer taken in conjunction with the CEP enhanced transport layer of '622 (see Figure 2) clearly meet the claimed connectionless transport

layer which provides for network services as described in claim 1. By this rationale, the rejection is maintained.

### Conclusion

25. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph E. Avellino whose telephone number is (571) 272-3905. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 7:00-4:00.

Art Unit: 2146

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nathan J. Flynn can be reached on (571) 272-1915. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Joseph E. Avellino/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2146